

Goal: prove $V_n = P_n^*$ where

$$P_n^* = \max_{\tau \leq t \leq n} \mathbb{E}_n \left[\frac{G_\tau}{(1+r)^{\tau-n}} \right].$$

(This will prove $P_0^* = V_0$.)



LET'S GET TO IT.

Lemma 1. $(V_n)_{0 \leq n \leq N}$ satisfies

(i) $V_n \geq G_n \quad \forall n$

(ii) $\left(\frac{V_n}{(1+r)^n} \right)_{0 \leq n \leq N}$ is a supermartingale

Lemma 2. $(P_n^*)_{0 \leq n \leq N}$ satisfies

(i) $P_n^* \geq G_n \quad \forall n$

(ii) $\left(\frac{P_n^*}{(1+r)^n} \right)_{0 \leq n \leq N}$ is a supermartingale

Lemma 3 For all adapted processes $(Y_n)_{0 \leq n \leq N}$ satisfying

(i) $Y_n \geq G_n \quad \forall n$

(ii) $\left(\frac{Y_n}{(1+r)^n} \right)_{0 \leq n \leq N}$ is a supermartingale,

$$Y_n \geq P_n^* \quad \forall n.$$

→ Corollary 3 $V_n \geq P_n^* \quad \forall n.$

Lemma 4. For all adapted processes $(W_n)_{0 \leq n \leq N}$ satisfying

(i) $W_n \geq G_n \quad \forall n$

(ii) $\left(\frac{W_n}{(1+r)^n}\right)_{0 \leq n \leq N}$ is a supermartingale,

$\forall n \leq W_n \quad \forall n.$

→ Corollary 4 $\forall n \in \mathcal{P}_n^* \quad \forall n.$

So putting Corollaries 3 & 4 together says

★ Theorem $\forall n \in \mathcal{P}_n^* \quad \forall n.$ ★

OK, now we prove these things.

Lemma 1 was already proven earlier, so — check ✓

Proof of Lemma 2. Fix $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$.

(i) Let $\hat{\tau}$ be the stopping rule $\hat{\tau}(\omega) = n \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega.$

Then $P_n^* \geq \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_n \left[\frac{G_{\hat{\tau}}}{(1+r)^{\hat{\tau}-n}} \right] = G_n.$

(ii) Let τ^* be any stopping rule with $\tau^*(\omega) \geq n+1 (\forall \omega)$, such that $P_{n+1}^* = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{n+1} \left[\frac{G_{\tau^*}}{(1+r)^{\tau^*-(n+1)}} \right].$

Since $\tau^*(\omega) \geq n \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_n^* &= \max_{\tau | \tau(\omega) \geq n} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_n \left[\frac{G_{\tau}}{(1+r)^{\tau-n}} \right] \geq \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_n \left[\frac{G_{\tau^*}}{(1+r)^{\tau^*-n}} \right] \\ &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_n \left[\frac{1}{1+r} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{n+1} \left[\frac{G_{\tau^*}}{(1+r)^{\tau^*-(n+1)}} \right] \right] = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_n \left[\frac{P_{n+1}^*}{1+r} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{P_n^*}{(1+r)^n} \geq \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_n \left[\frac{P_{n+1}^*}{(1+r)^{n+1}} \right]. \quad \blacksquare$$

Proof of Lemma 3. Fix $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$, τ such that $\tau(\omega) \geq n \forall \omega$.

Let $(Y_n)_{0 \leq n \leq N}$ be a process satisfying the hypotheses of the lemma. Then

$$\frac{Y_n}{(1+r)^n} \geq \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_n \left[\frac{Y_\tau}{(1+r)^\tau} \right] \geq \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_n \left[\frac{G_\tau}{(1+r)^\tau} \right].$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{Y_n}{(1+r)^n} &= \max_{\substack{\tau / \tau(\omega) \geq n \\ \forall \omega \in \Omega}} \frac{Y_n}{(1+r)^n} \geq \max_{\substack{\tau / \tau(\omega) \geq n \\ \forall \omega \in \Omega}} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_n \left[\frac{Y_\tau}{(1+r)^\tau} \right] \\ &= \frac{P_n^*}{(1+r)^n}. \end{aligned}$$

So $Y_n \geq P_n^*$ for all n . \blacksquare

Proof of Lemma 4. Let $(W_n)_{0 \leq n \leq N}$ satisfy the hypotheses of the lemma, and let's proceed by induction. (The backward kind.)

Base case: $V_N = G_N \leq W_N$.

Ind. step: fix $0 \leq n \leq N-1$ and suppose $V_{n+1} \leq W_{n+1}$.

(We'll show $V_n \leq W_n$):

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_n [V_{n+1}] \leq \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_n [W_{n+1}] \leq W_n (1+r),$$

\uparrow Ind. hypothesis \uparrow by (ii)

$$\text{and } V_n = \max \left\{ G_n, \frac{\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_n [V_{n+1}]}{1+r} \right\} \leq \max \{ G_n, W_n \} = W_n.$$

So $V_n \leq W_n$, as desired. \blacksquare

Theorem. Let τ^* be the random variable defined by

$$\tau^*(\omega) = \min \{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\} \mid V_n(\omega) = G_n(\omega)\}.$$

$\forall \omega \in \Omega$.

τ^* is an optimal exercise policy.

(In other words, exercising as soon as the intrinsic value is at least as high as the discounted risk-neutral expected value of holding the security another period is an optimal policy.)