

Rmk. In using the more clever approach on page 73, we effectively rewrote the optimal investment problem to say:

Optimal Investment Problem : N-period model
TAKE 2

Given an investor with initial wealth X_0 and utility function U , find a random variable X_N that maximizes $\mathbb{E}[U(X_N)]$ subject to the constraint $\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{X_N}{(1+r)^N}\right] = X_0$.

(We can then use X_N to construct the portfolio process using delta hedging.)

obvious question: how do we find a random variable that maximizes (an expression) subject to (another expression)?

↳ Lagrange multipliers!

(Also friends from Calc 3 - let's review.)

We'll set the problem up using state prices:

The Radon-Nikodym derivative $z = \frac{\tilde{P}}{P}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \quad Z(HH) &= \frac{9}{16} & Z(HT) &= \frac{9}{8} \\ Z(TH) &= \frac{9}{8} & Z(TT) &= \frac{9}{4} \end{aligned}$$

so the state price densities $\tilde{q} = \frac{z}{(1+r)^2}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \quad \tilde{q}(HH) &= \frac{9}{25} & \tilde{q}(HT) &= \frac{18}{25} \\ \tilde{q}(TH) &= \frac{18}{25} & \tilde{q}(TT) &= \frac{36}{25} \end{aligned}$$

For any problem like:

"Find x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 that maximize $p_1 u(x_1) + p_2 u(x_2) + p_3 u(x_3) + p_4 u(x_4)$

subject to $p_1 \tilde{q}_1 x_1 + p_2 \tilde{q}_2 x_2 + p_3 \tilde{q}_3 x_3 + p_4 \tilde{q}_4 x_4 = X_0$."

the Lagrangian is

$$\begin{aligned} L &= p_1 u(x_1) + p_2 u(x_2) + p_3 u(x_3) + p_4 u(x_4) \\ &\quad - \lambda (p_1 \tilde{q}_1 x_1 + p_2 \tilde{q}_2 x_2 + p_3 \tilde{q}_3 x_3 + p_4 \tilde{q}_4 x_4 - X_0) \end{aligned}$$

for some value of λ . Set up the equations

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} = 0 \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} = 0 \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_3} = 0 \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_4} = 0$$

and solve for λ . Finally, plug the value of λ in to the equations to solve for x_1, x_2, x_3 , and x_4 .

Let's try it

Here, our x_i 's are

$$x_1 = X_2(HH)$$

$$x_3 = X_2(TH)$$

$$x_2 = X_2(HT)$$

$$x_4 = X_2(TT)$$

our f_i 's are

$$f_1 = f(HH)$$

$$f_3 = f(TH)$$

$$f_2 = f(HT)$$

$$f_4 = f(TT)$$

and our p_i 's are

$$p_1 = P(HH)$$

$$p_3 = P(TH)$$

$$p_2 = P(HT)$$

$$p_4 = P(TT)$$

So the Lagrangian is

$$L = \frac{4}{9} \ln x_1 + \frac{2}{9} \ln x_2 + \frac{2}{9} \ln x_3 + \frac{1}{9} \ln x_4$$

$$- \lambda \left(\frac{4}{9} \cdot \frac{9}{25} x_1 + \frac{2}{9} \cdot \frac{18}{25} x_2 + \frac{2}{9} \cdot \frac{18}{25} x_3 + \frac{1}{9} \cdot \frac{36}{25} x_4 - 4 \right)$$

So taking partials and setting them equal to zero gives

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} = \frac{4}{9x_1} - \frac{4}{25} \lambda = 0 \Rightarrow x_1 = \frac{25}{9\lambda}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} = \frac{2}{9x_2} - \frac{4}{25} \lambda = 0 \Rightarrow x_2 = \frac{25}{18\lambda}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_3} = \frac{2}{9x_3} - \frac{4}{25} \lambda = 0 \Rightarrow x_3 = \frac{25}{18\lambda}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_4} = \frac{1}{9x_4} - \frac{4}{25} \lambda = 0 \Rightarrow x_4 = \frac{25}{36\lambda}$$

plug these values into the constraint

$$\frac{4}{9} \cdot \frac{9}{25} \cdot x_1 + \frac{2}{9} \cdot \frac{18}{25} x_2 + \frac{2}{9} \cdot \frac{18}{25} x_3 + \frac{1}{9} \cdot \frac{36}{25} x_4 = 4$$

and solve for λ :

$$\frac{4}{25} \cdot \frac{25}{9\lambda} + \frac{4}{25} \cdot \frac{25}{18\lambda} + \frac{4}{25} \cdot \frac{25}{18\lambda} + \frac{4}{25} \cdot \frac{25}{36\lambda} = 4$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{4}{9} + \frac{2}{9} + \frac{2}{9} + \frac{1}{9} \right) \frac{1}{\lambda} = 4$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{1}{\lambda} = 4.}$$

plugging this back in to the equations at the bottom of the previous page gives

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{ll} x_1 = \frac{100}{9} & x_2 = \frac{50}{9} \\ x_3 = \frac{50}{9} & x_4 = \frac{25}{9} \end{array}}$$

which matches what we got the first time. \square

Rmk We can rewrite the constraint $x_0 = \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{X_N}{(1+r)^N} \right]$

as $x_0 = \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{Z_N X_N}{(1+r)^N} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\sum X_N \right]$

so that we don't have both actual and risk-neutral expectations to deal with.

OK, so let's restate the optimal investment problem a third and final way, using Lagrange multipliers.

We'll call the 2^N outcomes in $\{H, T\}^N$: $\omega^1, \omega^2, \dots, \omega^N$

and let
$$\left. \begin{aligned} f_k &= f(\omega^k) \\ p_k &= P(\omega^k) \\ x_m &= X_N(\omega^k) \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ for each } k=1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Then we can say

The Optimal Investment Problem - take 3

Given an investor with initial wealth X_0 and utility function U , find x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N that maximize

$$\sum_{k=1}^N p_k U(x_k)$$

Subject to

$$X_0 = \sum_{k=1}^N p_k x_k f_k$$

Method

$$\text{Lagrangian: } L = \sum_{k=1}^N p_k U(x_k) - \lambda \sum_{k=1}^N p_k x_k f_k + \lambda X_0.$$

Lagrange multiplier equations: $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_k} = p_k U'(x_k) - \lambda p_k f_k = 0$

which reduce to $U'(x_k) = \lambda f_k$ for each k .

(i.e. $U'(x_N) = \frac{\lambda Z}{(1+r)^N}$).