#### Hunter Vs. Mole

Natasha Komarov

St. Lawrence University February 4, 2015

Hunter Vs. Mole

Natasha Komarov



#### 1 Cops & Robbers Games on Graphs

2 Hunter vs. Mole





#### Table of Contents

#### 1 Cops & Robbers Games on Graphs

2 Hunter vs. Mole

3 Generalizations & Variations

Natasha Komarov

Natasha Komarov

• Games are played on *G*: a connected, undirected, simple graph on *n* vertices.



Hunter Vs. Mole

- Games are played on *G*: a connected, undirected, simple graph on *n* vertices.
- Two players

- Games are played on *G*: a connected, undirected, simple graph on *n* vertices.
- Two players, a pursuer (cop)

- Games are played on *G*: a connected, undirected, simple graph on *n* vertices.
- Two players, a pursuer (cop) and an evader (robber)

- Games are played on *G*: a connected, undirected, simple graph on *n* vertices.
- Two players, a pursuer (cop) and an evader (robber) move vertex to vertex on *G*.

- Games are played on *G*: a connected, undirected, simple graph on *n* vertices.
- Two players, a pursuer (cop) and an evader (robber) move vertex to vertex on *G*.
- **Capture** occurs when cop and robber occupy same vertex at same time.

- Games are played on *G*: a connected, undirected, simple graph on *n* vertices.
- Two players, a pursuer (cop) and an evader (robber) move vertex to vertex on *G*.
- **Capture** occurs when cop and robber occupy same vertex at same time.
- The cop's goal is to capture the robber in the minimal possible number of steps.

- Games are played on *G*: a connected, undirected, simple graph on *n* vertices.
- Two players, a pursuer (cop) and an evader (robber) move vertex to vertex on *G*.
- **Capture** occurs when cop and robber occupy same vertex at same time.
- The cop's goal is to capture the robber in the minimal possible number of steps.
- The robber's goal is to evade capture as long as possible.

- Games are played on *G*: a connected, undirected, simple graph on *n* vertices.
- Two players, a pursuer (cop) and an evader (robber) move vertex to vertex on *G*.
- **Capture** occurs when cop and robber occupy same vertex at same time.
- The cop's goal is to capture the robber in the minimal possible number of steps.
- The robber's goal is to evade capture as long as possible.
- A **move** consists of a step by the cop followed by a step by the robber

- Games are played on *G*: a connected, undirected, simple graph on *n* vertices.
- Two players, a pursuer (cop) and an evader (robber) move vertex to vertex on *G*.
- **Capture** occurs when cop and robber occupy same vertex at same time.
- The cop's goal is to capture the robber in the minimal possible number of steps.
- The robber's goal is to evade capture as long as possible.
- A **move** consists of a step by the cop followed by a step by the robber (like chess).

Hunter Vs. Mole

Natasha Komarov

 Introduced by Nowakowski & Winkler [3] and (independently) Quilliot [4].

- Introduced by Nowakowski & Winkler [3] and (independently) Quilliot [4].
- Cop and robber move alternately from vertex to adjacent vertex—or stay put—with full information about each other's positions.

- Introduced by Nowakowski & Winkler [3] and (independently) Quilliot [4].
- Cop and robber move alternately from vertex to adjacent vertex—or stay put—with full information about each other's positions.
- Graphs on which a cop can win (i.e. capture) in finite time are called **cop-win**.

- Introduced by Nowakowski & Winkler [3] and (independently) Quilliot [4].
- Cop and robber move alternately from vertex to adjacent vertex—or stay put—with full information about each other's positions.
- Graphs on which a cop can win (i.e. capture) in finite time are called **cop-win**.
- Game takes no more than n-4 moves on cop-win graphs with  $n \ge 7$  (see [1, 2]).

Hunter Vs. Mole

## Original game: what graphs are cop-win?

Natasha Komarov

• **Definition**. A graph is **dismantlable** if it has a sequence of **corners** (a.k.a. vertices dominated by another vertex) that leads to the trivial graph.

• **Definition**. A graph is **dismantlable** if it has a sequence of **corners** (a.k.a. vertices dominated by another vertex) that leads to the trivial graph.



• **Definition**. A graph is **dismantlable** if it has a sequence of **corners** (a.k.a. vertices dominated by another vertex) that leads to the trivial graph.



- **Definition**. A graph is **dismantlable** if it has a sequence of **corners** (a.k.a. vertices dominated by another vertex) that leads to the trivial graph.
- Example:



- **Definition**. A graph is **dismantlable** if it has a sequence of **corners** (a.k.a. vertices dominated by another vertex) that leads to the trivial graph.
- Example:



• **Definition**. A graph is **dismantlable** if it has a sequence of **corners** (a.k.a. vertices dominated by another vertex) that leads to the trivial graph.



• **Definition**. A graph is **dismantlable** if it has a sequence of **corners** (a.k.a. vertices dominated by another vertex) that leads to the trivial graph.



• **Definition**. A graph is **dismantlable** if it has a sequence of **corners** (a.k.a. vertices dominated by another vertex) that leads to the trivial graph.



• **Definition**. A graph is **dismantlable** if it has a sequence of **corners** (a.k.a. vertices dominated by another vertex) that leads to the trivial graph.



• **Definition**. A graph is **dismantlable** if it has a sequence of **corners** (a.k.a. vertices dominated by another vertex) that leads to the trivial graph.



• **Definition**. A graph is **dismantlable** if it has a sequence of **corners** (a.k.a. vertices dominated by another vertex) that leads to the trivial graph.

• **Definition**. A graph is **dismantlable** if it has a sequence of **corners** (a.k.a. vertices dominated by another vertex) that leads to the trivial graph.

#### Theorem

A graph is cop-win if and only if it is dismantlable.

• **Definition**. A graph is **dismantlable** if it has a sequence of **corners** (a.k.a. vertices dominated by another vertex) that leads to the trivial graph.

#### Theorem

A graph is cop-win if and only if it is dismantlable.

**Bonus**: Dismantlable graphs turn out to be important in unexpected areas: e.g. statistical physics.

#### Table of Contents

#### Cops & Robbers Games on Graphs

#### 2 Hunter vs. Mole

Hunter Vs. Mole

3 Generalizations & Variations

Natasha Komarov

#### Game set-up

• Hunter: not constrained by edges but plays in the dark.



#### Game set-up

- Hunter: not constrained by edges but plays in the dark.
- Mole: constrained by edges and must move, but can see hunter.
- Hunter: not constrained by edges but plays in the dark.
- Mole: constrained by edges and must move, but can see hunter.
- Players move simultaneously.

- Hunter: not constrained by edges but plays in the dark.
- Mole: constrained by edges and must move, but can see hunter.
- Players move simultaneously.
- On what graphs can hunter guarantee capture of mole in bounded time (call these hunter-win)?

- Hunter: not constrained by edges but plays in the dark.
- Mole: constrained by edges and must move, but can see hunter.
- Players move simultaneously.
- On what graphs can hunter guarantee capture of mole in bounded time (call these hunter-win)? (Equivalently: hunter plays against genius, prescient mole who always makes the moves guaranteed to maximize capture time.)

- Hunter: not constrained by edges but plays in the dark.
- Mole: constrained by edges and must move, but can see hunter.
- Players move simultaneously.
- On what graphs can hunter guarantee capture of mole in bounded time (call these hunter-win)? (Equivalently: hunter plays against genius, prescient mole who always makes the moves guaranteed to maximize capture time.)

### Theorem

A graph is hunter-win if and only if it is a lobster.

### Definition

A **lobster** is a tree containing a path P such that all vertices are within distance 2 of P.



### Definition

A **lobster** is a tree containing a path P such that all vertices are within distance 2 of P.



#### Lemma

Lobsters are hunter-win.



#### Lemma

Lobsters are hunter-win.

Proof



#### Lemma

Lobsters are hunter-win.

Proof by picture.

Hunter Vs. Mole

Natasha Komarov

#### Lemma

Lobsters are hunter-win.

Proof by picture.



#### Lemma

Lobsters are hunter-win.

Proof by picture.



Define an **odd** (resp. **even**) **mole** to be a mole who starts at an odd (resp. even) distance from the marked vertex.

#### Lemma

Lobsters are hunter-win.

Proof by picture.



Define an **odd** (resp. **even**) **mole** to be a mole who starts at an odd (resp. even) distance from the marked vertex.

#### Lemma

Lobsters are hunter-win.

**Proof by picture.** After hunter's 1<sup>st</sup> step:



#### Lemma

Lobsters are hunter-win.

**Proof by picture.** After hunter's 2<sup>nd</sup> step:



#### Lemma

Lobsters are hunter-win.

**Proof by picture.** After hunter's 3<sup>rd</sup> step:



#### Lemma

Lobsters are hunter-win.

**Proof by picture.** After hunter's 4<sup>th</sup> step:



#### Lemma

Lobsters are hunter-win.

**Proof by picture.** After hunter's 5<sup>th</sup> step:



#### Lemma

Lobsters are hunter-win.

**Proof by picture.** After hunter's 6<sup>th</sup> step:



#### Lemma

Lobsters are hunter-win.

**Proof by picture.** After hunter's 7<sup>th</sup> step:



#### Lemma

Lobsters are hunter-win.

**Proof by picture.** After hunter's 8<sup>th</sup> step:



#### Lemma

Lobsters are hunter-win.

**Proof by picture.** After hunter's 8<sup>th</sup> step:



#### Lemma

Lobsters are hunter-win.

**Proof by picture.** After hunter's 9<sup>th</sup> step:



#### Lemma

Lobsters are hunter-win.

**Proof by picture.** After hunter's 10<sup>th</sup> step:



#### Lemma

Lobsters are hunter-win.

**Proof by picture.** After hunter's 11<sup>th</sup> step:



#### Lemma

Lobsters are hunter-win.

**Proof by picture.** After hunter's 12<sup>th</sup> step:



#### Lemma

Lobsters are hunter-win.

**Proof by picture.** After hunter's 13<sup>th</sup> step:



#### Lemma

Lobsters are hunter-win.

**Proof by picture.** After hunter's 14<sup>th</sup> step:



#### Lemma

Lobsters are hunter-win.

**Proof by picture.** After hunter's 15<sup>th</sup> step:



#### Lemma

Lobsters are hunter-win.

Proof by picture.



This is an optimal strategy for the hunter, by the way

#### Lemma

Lobsters are hunter-win.

Proof by picture.



This is an optimal strategy for the hunter, by the way—even though she only went from vertex to **adjacent** vertex!

### Characterization

#### Lemma

A graph G is a lobster if and only if it is a tree that doesn't contain the three-legged spider:



### Lemma

### A graph G is mole-win if:

### Lemma

### A graph G is mole-win if:

• G is the three-legged spider.

### Lemma

### A graph G is mole-win if:

- G is the three-legged spider.
- G is the cycle C<sub>n</sub>.

### Lemma

### A graph G is mole-win if:

- G is the three-legged spider.
- G is the cycle C<sub>n</sub>.
- G contains a mole-win subgraph.

### Lemma

A graph G is mole-win if:

- G is the three-legged spider.
- G is the cycle C<sub>n</sub>.
- G contains a mole-win subgraph.

How can we prove that a graph is mole-win?
How can we prove that a graph is mole-win?



Hunter Vs. Mole

Natasha Komarov

How can we prove that a graph is mole-win? Finite state diagrams.



How can we prove that a graph is mole-win? Finite state diagrams. Example: the cycle.

How can we prove that a graph is mole-win? Finite state diagrams. Example: the cycle.



How can we prove that a graph is mole-win? Finite state diagrams. Example: the three-legged spider.



How can we prove that a graph is mole-win? Finite state diagrams.

Example: the three-legged spider.



How can we prove that a graph is mole-win?

Finite state diagrams.

Example: the three-legged spider.



# Conclusion

#### Theorem

A graph is hunter-win if and only if it is a lobster.

Hunter Vs. Mole

Natasha Komarov

### Table of Contents

#### Cops & Robbers Games on Graphs

2 Hunter vs. Mole



Question: What if the mole is allowed to stay put?

- Question: What if the mole is allowed to stay put?
- Can be modeled by the original game, with loops at vertices where the mole is allowed to sit.

- Question: What if the mole is allowed to stay put?
- Can be modeled by the original game, with loops at vertices where the mole is allowed to sit.
- Answer: This is pretty bad news for the hunter!

- Question: What if the mole is allowed to stay put?
- Can be modeled by the original game, with loops at vertices where the mole is allowed to sit.
- Answer: This is pretty bad news for the hunter!

#### Lemma

Any graph with a loop at two or more vertices is mole-win.

#### Lemma

Any graph with a loop at two or more vertices is mole-win.



#### Lemma

Any graph with a loop at two or more vertices is mole-win.

#### Proof.

Any path with a loop on both endpoints has the following finite state diagram:

#### Lemma

Any graph with a loop at two or more vertices is mole-win.

#### Proof.

Any path with a loop on both endpoints has the following finite state diagram:



#### Lemma

Any graph with a loop at two or more vertices is mole-win.

#### Proof.

Any path with a loop on both endpoints has the following finite state diagram:



And any graph with a loop at two or more vertices contains such a path as a subgraph.  $\hfill\square$ 

• **Question**: What if the mole is allowed to stay put at exactly one vertex?

- **Question**: What if the mole is allowed to stay put at exactly one vertex?
- Answer: This is (usually) still bad news.

- **Question**: What if the mole is allowed to stay put at exactly one vertex?
- Answer: This is (usually) still bad news.

#### Lemma

A graph containing exactly one loop is hunter-win if and only if it is a lobster and the loop is close to an endpoint of the central path.

#### Lemma

A graph containing exactly one loop is hunter-win if and only if it is a lobster and the loop is close to an endpoint of the central path.



#### Lemma

A graph containing exactly one loop is hunter-win if and only if it is a lobster and the loop is close to an endpoint of the central path.

In particular, lobsters with a single loop are mole-win if and only if they contain one of the following graphs as a subgraph:

#### Lemma

A graph containing exactly one loop is hunter-win if and only if it is a lobster and the loop is close to an endpoint of the central path.

In particular, lobsters with a single loop are mole-win if and only if they contain one of the following graphs as a subgraph:



Question: Can we characterize all 2-hunter-win graphs?



Question: Can we characterize all 2-hunter-win graphs? This is strangely difficult!



- **Question**: Can we characterize all 2-hunter-win graphs? This is strangely difficult!
- Underlying issue: subdividing an edge of a mole-win graph can now lead to a 2-hunter-win graph.

- **Question**: Can we characterize all 2-hunter-win graphs? This is strangely difficult!
- Underlying issue: subdividing an edge of a mole-win graph can now lead to a 2-hunter-win graph.
  Example:



- **Question**: Can we characterize all 2-hunter-win graphs? This is strangely difficult!
- Underlying issue: subdividing an edge of a mole-win graph can now lead to a 2-hunter-win graph.
  Example:



• This is current undergraduate work with Zachary Greenberg (senior math major, CMU).

### References



A. Bonato, P. Golovach, G. Hahn, and J. Kratochvil, The capture time of a graph, *Discrete Math.* **309** (2009) 5588–5595.



R. J. Nowakowski and P. Winkler, Vertex to vertex pursuit in a graph, Discrete Math. 43 (1983), 235-239.



A. Quilliot, Thesis: Homomorphismes, points fixes, rétractations et jeux de poursuite dans les graphes, les ensembles ordonnés et les espaces métriques, Université de Paris VI (1983).

# Thank you!

Hunter Vs. Mole

Natasha Komarov