

1. Briefly and in your own words (not the textbook's) explain what a functional dependency  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  on a relation **R** means.

Whenever two tuples agree on  $\alpha$  they agree on  $\beta$ .

2. If the functional dependency  $\alpha\beta \rightarrow \gamma$  is valid for a relation schema **R** can we conclude that the dependency  $\beta \rightarrow \gamma$  is also valid? Show why or why not.

No. A simple counterexample is easy to construct. Look at the table in question 4 and let  $\alpha=A$ ,  $\beta=B$ , and  $\gamma=C$

3. Is the following relation in *First Normal Form*? Briefly explain why or why not.

PNO	Pname	Available colors	City	Weight
1	Nut	Red, blue, green	Paris	5
2	Bolt	Orange	NYC	6

No, Available colors is non-atomic.

4. Consider the relation schema  $R = (A, B, C)$  and an instance **r** below:

A	B	C
a1	b1	c1
a2	b3	c3
a3	b2	c2
a3	b1	c4

- a. What non-trivial functional dependencies could currently hold for **r**?

There are six,  $C \rightarrow A$ ,  $C \rightarrow B$ ,  $C \rightarrow AB$ ,  $AB \rightarrow C$ ,  $AC \rightarrow B$ ,  $BC \rightarrow A$

- b. What are the possible candidate keys for **r**?

**C**

- c. What are the possible super-keys for **r**?

**C, AB, BC, AC, ABC**

5. Consider the following set **F** of functional dependencies on a relation schemea  $R=(A,B,C)$ .  
 $F = \{A \rightarrow BC, B \rightarrow C\}$

- a. Compute the closure  $F^+$  of **F**. (Do not include trivial dependencies)

$F^+ = \{A \rightarrow BC, B \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow C, AC \rightarrow BC, AB \rightarrow BC, AB \rightarrow C, AC \rightarrow B, AB \rightarrow AC\}$

- b. Is  $F$  a canonical cover for  $R$ ? If it is explain why, if it is not, give the canonical cover.

No,  $\{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C\}$  is the canonical cover.

6. Consider the following set of dependencies  $F$  on a relation scheme  $R = (V, W, X, Y, Z)$ .  
 $F = \{V \rightarrow WX, XY \rightarrow Z, W \rightarrow Y, Z \rightarrow V\}$

- a. Compute the *attribute closure*  $Z^+$  of  $F$ .

$Z^+ = \{V, W, X, Y, Z\}$

- b. Is  $Z$  a candidate key? Show why or why not?

Yes, it determines every other attribute.

- c. Is there another candidate key? Explain why or why not?

Yes,  $V$  is as well. Compute  $V^+$  to see.

7. Given the dependencies from question 6 is the decomposition  $(W, X, Z)$  and  $(V, Y, Z)$  lossless? Show why or why not.

Let  $R_1 = \{W, X, Z\}$  and  $R_2 = \{V, Y, Z\}$  since  $R_1 \cap R_2 = \{Z\}$  and  $Z$  is a candidate key by 6a then  $R_1 \cap R_2 \rightarrow R_1$  so the decomposition is lossless. By the way,  $R_1 \cap R_2 \rightarrow R_2$  also, but only one is required to hold. Note: I did not ask that the decomposition be dependency preserving.

8. Is the functional dependency  $WX \rightarrow V$  valid for the set of dependencies in 6? Show why or why not.

Yes,  $V$  is in  $\{WX\}^+$

9. Give a lossless decomposition of  $R$  from question 6 into BCNF.

There are lots of correct answers, but many that are wrong as. In particular make sure you don't put  $W$  and  $Y$  in the same relation since  $W \rightarrow Y$  and  $W$  is not a super-key. So  $R_1 = (V, X, Y, Z)$  and  $R_2 = (W, Z)$  will do.

10. Consider a university database that keeps track of current students, courses, and academic departments. A student has a name and an ID. A course has a name, a number, and a department. A department has a name. Students take one or more courses, departments offer one or more courses, and departments have zero or more students as majors. A course can be either a lecture course or a lab course. Functional requirements include needing to know what courses a department offers, what students are enrolled in what courses, current majors in a department, and looking up information about specific students, courses, and departments.

- a. Draw an E-R diagram that best represents the university database.  
b. What are the relation schemas for your database?

Everyone got this correct.